

Benjamin Matlock

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 9:48 AM
To: Benjamin Matlock
Subject: RE: very interesting article

Good to know!
Cindy

Sent from Mail for Windows

From: Benjamin Matlock
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 9:33 AM
To: [REDACTED]@gmail.com
Subject: RE: very interesting article

Hi Cindy,

A few helpful notes:

1. Olives are allowed, and part of that was to help ensure the existing groves along Oak Glen Road are preserved. They just don't count towards the ability of having commercial uses – basically, you can't plant little ollies (non-fruit bearing) and try to capitalize on the winery activities.
2. We are internally working on trying to get the rates down. There are a few things that we're in the process of that will help pave the way. As a note, some of the requirements included for the WCSP are actually part of the strategy to help us make it happen, but that is not something we're advertising as a pro of the pro-con list since there are some other items we have to also do to get those rate reductions. But we certainly understand how frustrating the insurance is.

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 9:22 AM
To: Benjamin Matlock <bmatlock@yucaipa.gov>
Subject: RE: very interesting article

THANK U! I just want what is best for the community. Compromise is good but understanding how this affects the residents is very important to be included in the survey – in traffic, in open rural atmosphere, and more of a crime magnet, with added cost to our services. I love the idea of a planted agriculture component for the developers to do the density transfer to lower their developmental cost. Perhaps a very good OLIVE orchard could be an alternative that would not necessarily bring in the alcohol component. However I don't know if that would be a fire plus plan. Finding something that would work with the fire marshal to reduce the fire risk that could be done with this grassed area to lower the CAL Fair Fire Insurance for the homes in this area would be fantastic. Our Cal Fair Plan Fire insurance is almost what we pay in property taxes. I'm afraid that and more will be moving out of California if there cant be some kind of resolution to this problem.

Cindy

Sent from Mail for Windowsto the

From: Benjamin Matlock
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 8:14 AM
To: c[REDACTED]@gmail.com
Subject: RE: very interesting article

Hi Cindy,

We had completed a survey before, but are in the process of having a more current one done by a firm so that the City Council has that information.

Benjamin Matlock
Deputy Director of Community Development / City Planner
City of Yucaipa
City Hall • 34272 Yucaipa Blvd • Yucaipa, CA 92399
Office: 909-797-2489 Ext. 261
www.yucaipa.org



From: c[REDACTED]@gmail.com <c[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 7:58 AM
To: Benjamin Matlock <bmatlock@yucaipa.gov>
Subject: very interesting article

Good morning Ben,

Great meeting in regards to the North Bench. I believe the few representatives of the community that were there shared their concerns. Someone just sent me two articles regarding I would say is a conflict of interest in a winery development (see below for a web site article) and one attachment. Some claim there is a conflict of interest in our winery development with possible land owners and developers and support for certain counsel members and members of the planning commission. Perhaps if we get some kind of a survey or vote from the community to give to the boards they will be swayed to vote according to communities interest and not their own as electors are suppose to do. Then no one can complain if they didn't take the time to participate in the survey and make their voice heard.

Cindy McCuistion
909-[REDACTED]

Second Article with more specifics on the attached article:

https://napavalleyregister.com/news/local/government-politics/napa-subpoenas-federal-grand-jury-vinedos-pedroza-airport-waste-services/article_0691451c-ca88-11ee-8b97-db28c80ed7b8.html#tracking-source=mp-in-article